

Instanton homology and the Alexander polynomial

Yuhan Lim

Version: July 2009

1 Introduction

In a recent paper [8], Kronheimer and Mrowka revisit an instanton knot homology first defined and studied by A. Floer [4]. For simplicity we assume the case of knots K in the 3-sphere. Kronheimer-Mrowka refine the instanton knot homology theory by introducing a bigrading on the groups. The bigraded groups are denoted as $KHI(K)$. They conjecture that $KHI(K)$ is isomorphic to other knot homologies, such as the one defined by Ozsvath-Szarbo. A small step in this direction is to show that $KHI(K)$ recovers the Alexander polynomial. In this note we prove this. In addition we state two simple corollaries of the result.

Concurrently, the main result of this note has also been obtained by Kronheimer and Mrowka in [9].

Instanton homology for knots We review the version of instanton Floer homology for an oriented knot K in the 3-sphere S^3 , as considered in [8]. It is defined to be the instanton homology of a certain closure K^T of the knot complement $S^3 - \nu K^\circ$ by $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$. Here T is a 2-torus and D

is a small disk in T . Let Σ_K be a Seifert surface for K , oriented so that the boundary, with the induced orientation, matches the orientation of K . In the closure, the boundaries of $\Sigma_K \cap (S^3 - \nu K^\circ)$ and $(T - D^\circ) \times \{1\}$ are identified as well as meridians for K on $\partial\nu(K)$ and the fibers $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$ on the boundary of $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$. An orientation of the 3-manifold is required in the definition of instanton homology. K^T is given the orientation induced from $S^3 - \nu K^\circ$, and S^3 is given the standard orientation on $\mathbf{R}^3$, regarding it as $\mathbf{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$.

To define instanton homology in the non-homology 3-sphere case we also need to specify a complex line bundle $\omega \rightarrow K^T$ whose Chern class has a non-zero mod 2 evaluation on at least one integral homology class in K^T . Let α be a homologically non-trivial oriented simple closed curve in T . Choose ω_0 to the complex line with Chern class Poincare dual to α , thinking of α as living on $(T - D^\circ) \times \{1\}$ in K^T . $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$ denotes the instanton homology for (K^T, ω_0) with complex coefficients.

Generalized eigenspace decomposition There is only a relative mod 8 grading on $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$ but there is an absolute mod 2 grading due to Froyshov [5] (details below). We shall always assume the canonical mod 2 grading and any mod 8 grading used will be assumed consistent with the mod 2 grading. This makes the groups

$$\tilde{I}_0(K^T)_{\omega_0} = \bigoplus I_{2i}(K^T)_{\omega_0}, \quad \tilde{I}_1(K^T)_{\omega_0} = \bigoplus I_{2i-1}(K^T)_{\omega_0}$$

well-defined.

Let x_0 be a point in K^T . The action of the μ -map evaluated on x_0 , $\mu(x_0)$ sends $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0} \rightarrow I_{*-4}(K^T)_{\omega_0}$. By [8] this determines a splitting of $\tilde{I}_*(K^T)_{\omega_0}$ into ± 2 -eigenspaces, in their normalization. Then by definition

$$KHI_*(K) = \tilde{I}_*^+(K^T)_{\omega_0} = +2\text{-eigenspace}.$$

Let $\widehat{\Sigma}$ denote the surface $(\Sigma_K \cap (S^3 - \nu K^\circ)) \cup (T - D^\circ) \times \{1\}$, with the induced orientation from Σ_K . The action of the μ -map evaluated on $\widehat{\Sigma}$, $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$

sends $I_*(K^T)_{\omega_0} \rightarrow I_{*-2}(K^T)_{\omega_0}$. The action of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ and $\mu(x_0)$ commute; $\widetilde{I}_*^+(K^T)_{\omega_0}$ is preserved under the action of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$. In [8] it is shown (with the normalization used there) that the eigenvalues of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ are the even integers n satisfying the bound $|n| \leq 2g - 2$, g being the genus of $\widehat{\Sigma}$. Then there is a decomposition of $KHI_*(K)$ by the generalized eigenspaces of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$, which in the notation of [8] is written

$$KHI_*(K) = \bigoplus_{i=-g}^{i=g} KHI_*(K, i). \quad (1)$$

Define the finite Laurent polynomial

$$P_K(t) = P_{\omega_0}(K^T, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) = \chi_{-g}t^{-g} + \dots + \chi_0 + \chi_1t + \dots + \chi_g t^g,$$

where χ_i is the Euler characteristic of $KHI_*(K, i)$.

Statement of results

Theorem 1.1 *For any knot K in the 3-sphere, $P_K(t)$ is exactly the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ of K .*

This proves Conjecture 7.26 of [8]. The symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ of K is the unique representative that satisfies $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$ and $\Delta_K(1) = 1$. The proof of the theorem involves a straightforward application of Floer's surgery exact triangle.

Instead of the closure of the knot complement by $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$ we can also consider the standard closure by $D^2 \times S^1$ given by 0-surgery on K , which we denote by K^D . We consider the instanton homology of K^D but this time chose the complex line ω' with Chern class Poincare dual to an oriented meridian of K , regarding the meridian as a curve in K^D .

Corollary 1.2 *For oriented K , let $Q_K(t)$ be the finite Laurent polynomial defined analogously but with K^D and complex line ω' . Then*

$$Q_K(t) = \frac{\Delta_K(t) - 1}{t - 2 + t^{-1}}$$

where $\Delta_K(t)$ is as above.

For example the trefoil knot has $Q_K(t) = 1$ and the figure-8 knot, $Q_K(t) = -1$. We mention that for $I_*(K^D)_{\omega'}$ we do not actually know that $\mu(x_0)$ splits $I_*(K^D)_{\omega'}$ into ± 2 -eigenspaces. However it is not needed and we can simply use the generalized $+2$ -eigenspace in place of the $+2$ -eigenspace.

Corollary 1.3 *If the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial $\Delta_K(t)$ of a knot K in S^3 is non-trivial i.e. $\Delta_K(t) \neq 1$, then -1 -surgery on K never yields a simply connected 3-manifold.*

Remark This corollary is a special case of the property P conjecture. (Property P is proven in [7] and [8], independent of Perelman's proof of the Poincare conjecture. It uses a result of [2] that proves that consideration of ± 1 -surgery is sufficient.)

Proof of corollary If $\Delta_K(t) \neq 1$, then $Q_K(t) \neq 0$ and the instanton homology groups $I_*(K^D)_{\omega'}$ are non-trivial. By Floer's surgery exact triangle the instanton homology for the integral homology sphere K_{-1} obtained by -1 -surgery on K is also non-trivial. Thus there must be at least one non-trivial representation of $\pi_1(K_{-1})$ into $SU(2)$. $\square$

2 Preliminaries

Mod 2 grading We briefly review the canonical mod 2 grading since we will be using it throughout this note ([5], see also [3, Sect. 6.5]). Let $[\varrho] \in I_*(Y)_{\omega}$. Suppose that $Y = \partial X$ as oriented manifolds and $E \rightarrow X$ a $U(2)$ -bundle with connection A that extends ϱ on Y .

Let $\widehat{X}$ be the cylindrical-end manifold obtained by adjoining the semi-infinite tube $Y \times [0, \infty)$ to the boundary. Likewise extend E to $\widehat{E}$ and also extend A to $\widehat{A}$ by the pullback of ϱ over the cylindrical end. We let $\text{Ind} E$ be the index of the anti-self dual operator on $\widehat{X}$ coupled to $\widehat{A}$. We also have an indices

$\text{Ind}^\pm X$ of the anti-self dual operator on forms on $\widehat{X}$, on the positive/negative δ -weighted spaces, where the weight is non-zero and sufficiently small in absolute value [3, Sect. 3.3.1].

Define the mod 2 grading of $[\varrho]$ to be

$$\nu[\varrho] = \text{Ind} E - 3\text{Ind}^- X \bmod 2.$$

Index calculations (for instance [3, Sect. 3.3.1]) show that $\text{Ind}^- X = b_1(X) - b_2^+(X)$. (Here we assume that Y is connected.) b_2^+ is the dimension of a maximal positive definite subspace for the (possibly degenerate) intersection form on $H_2(X)$.

Let us now suppose that W is a cobordism between Y and Y' that induces a map $I_W: I_*(Y)_\omega \rightarrow I_{*+k}(Y')_{\omega'}$. We wish to determine the value of $k \bmod 2$, the mod 2 degree of I_W .

Lemma 2.1 *The degree k of the map I_W above satisfies*

$$k = 3(b_1(W) - b_1(Y) + b_0(Y') - b_0(W) - b_2^+(W)) \bmod 2.$$

Remark If Y or Y' is disconnected the lemma is still valid. We need to however interpret the instanton homology of a disjoint union $I_*(Y_0 \cup Y_1)_{\omega\omega'}$ as the tensor product $I_*(Y_0)_\omega \otimes I_*(Y_1)_{\omega'}$. In particular the grading satisfies $\nu([\varrho] \otimes [\varrho']) = \nu[\varrho] + \nu[\varrho'] \bmod 2$.

Proof of lemma Let $[\varrho] \in I_*(Y)_\omega$ and $I_W([\varrho]) = [\varrho'] \in I_{*+k}(Y')_{\omega'}$. Let E_W be the $U(2)$ -bundle with connection that limits to ϱ and ϱ' at the ends. Then by assumption, $\text{Ind} E_W = 0$. Let $Y = \partial X$ and E as above. The additivity property of the index [3, Sect. 3.3] tells us that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}(E \cup E_W) &= \text{Ind} E + \text{Ind} E_W \\ \text{Ind}^-(X \cup W) &= \text{Ind}^+ X + \text{Ind}^- W. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand according to [3, Prop. 3.10],

$$\text{Ind}^+ X - \text{Ind}^- X = -(b_0(\partial X) + b_1(\partial X))$$

and [3, Prop. 3.15] (with additional terms added for non-connected boundary)

$$\text{Ind}^- W = b_1(W) - (b_0(W) - b_0(\partial W)) - b_2^+(W).$$

Since the difference $\nu[\varrho] - \nu[\varrho']$ is given by

$$\text{Ind}(E \cup E_W) - \text{Ind} E - 3(\text{Ind}^-(X \cup W) - \text{Ind}^- X) \bmod 2,$$

the result follows. $\square$

Instanton invariants for 2-component links We will need to introduce versions of instanton homology associated to an oriented 2-component link L in the 3-sphere. The definitions are parallel to the one for knots. These are defined as the instanton homology of certain closures of the link complement. Let $C = [0, 1] \times S^1$ and Σ_2 the surface of genus 2. D_1 and D_2 are two small disjoint disks in Σ_2 . Let Σ_L be an oriented (connected) Seifert surface with induced orientation on the boundary equal to the orientation on L . Set

$$\begin{aligned} L^C &= (S^3 - \nu L^\circ) \cup (C \times S^1) \\ L^{\Sigma_2} &= (S^3 - \nu L^\circ) \cup (\Sigma - D_1^\circ - D_2^\circ) \times S^1. \end{aligned}$$

Again the union is taken along the boundaries such that oriented boundary of $\Sigma_L \cap (S^3 - \nu L^\circ)$ matches up with the oriented boundary of $[0, 1] \times \{1\}$ or $(\Sigma - D_1^\circ - D_2^\circ) \times \{1\}$. Additionally the meridians of the link should match up with the fibers $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$ on the boundaries. In the case of L^C the genus of $\widehat{\Sigma}$, the extension of Σ_L , is one greater than that of Σ_K but in the case of L^{Σ_2} it is two greater.

As in the case for knots we can consider the instanton homology groups $I_*^+(L^C)_\omega$ and $I_*^+(L^{\Sigma_2})_\omega$ as the basis for the definition of instanton link homology. We shall define our choices $\omega = \omega_1, \omega_2$ below. Using the action of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ to give a decomposition $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^C)_{\omega_1}$ and $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^{\Sigma_2})_{\omega_2}$, we again can define the finite Laurent polynomials

$$P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) \quad \text{and} \quad P_{\omega_2}(L^{\Sigma_2}, \widehat{\Sigma})(t).$$

Let α_0 be an oriented simple arc in $S^3 - \nu L^\circ$ connecting the two boundary components. Let α_1 be an oriented simple arc in $C \times S^1$ connecting the two boundary components of such that the boundary of α_1 matches up with the boundary of α_0 and the union is an oriented simple closed curve α' . Then in the case of L^C choose $\omega = \omega_1$ where ω_1 has Chern class Poincare dual to α' .

Think of $\Sigma_2 - D_1^\circ - D_2^\circ$ as the connected sum of surfaces $C \sharp T$. Let α'' be an oriented simple closed curve of the form $\alpha_0 \cup \alpha_1$ where α_1 is the arc as before but lives in the C factor of $C \sharp T$. Let α be a homologically non-trivial simple closed curve in the T factor of the connected sum. We think of α as living on $(\Sigma_2 - D_1^\circ - D_2^\circ) \times \{1\}$. Then for L^{Σ_2} choose $\omega = \omega_2$ where ω_2 has Chern class Poincare dual to $\alpha'' + \alpha$.

The excision principle and instanton homology for L^{Σ_2} With our choices for ω it is actually the case that $P_{\omega_2}(L^{\Sigma_2}, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$ is determined by $P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$. This is a consequence of Floer's excision principle.

Lemma 2.2 *The finite Laurent polynomial*

$$P_{\omega_2}(L^{\Sigma_2}, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) = P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) \cdot P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma)(t),$$

where $P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma)(t)$ is the finite Laurent polynomial for $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$ derived from the instanton homology of $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$ and defined in an analogous manner. The complex line ω_3 has Chern class the Poincare dual of the curve α above.

Proof Let F_1, F_1 be the two tori that form the boundary of $(S^3 - \nu L^\circ) \subset L^{\Sigma_2}$. Since ω_2 evaluates non-trivially mod 2 on F_i we may apply Floer's excision principle (see [8, Theorem 7.7]) to conclude that there is an isomorphism

$$\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^{\Sigma_2})_{\omega_2} \cong \widetilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3} \otimes \widetilde{I}_*^+(L^C)_{\omega_1},$$

However it is not immediately evident that this isomorphism preserves the mod 2 grading, we shall prove it below but only in this specific situation.

In the above isomorphism the action of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ on $\widetilde{I}_*^+(K^{\Sigma_2})_{\omega_2}$ corresponds to the action of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma}) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \mu(\Sigma_2)$ on the tensor product space. It follows that the generalized λ -eigenspaces W_λ in $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^{\Sigma_2})_{\omega_2}$ obey a relation of the form

$$W_\lambda \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda=\lambda_0+\lambda_1} U_{\lambda_0} \otimes V_{\lambda_1},$$

where U_{λ_0} and V_{λ_1} are corresponding generalized eigenspaces in $\widetilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$ and $\widetilde{I}_*^+(L^C)_{\omega_1}$ respectively. The lemma follows easily, assuming the mod 2 grading claim.

To establish the mod 2 grading, consider the surgery cobordism W between $L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)$ and L^{Σ_2} in the proof of the excision principle. Let $H = T \times [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1]$. The boundary is $T \times \{-1, 1\} \times [-1, 1] \cup T \times [-1, 1] \times \{-1, 1\}$. We regard $T \times \{0\} \times [-1, 1]$ as the ‘core’ of H . Then W is obtained from $(L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)) \times [0, 1]$ by identifying $\nu F_1 \cup \nu F_2$ in $(L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)) \times \{1\}$ with $T \times [-1, 1] \times \{-1, 1\}$ in H . Clearly W deformation retracts onto W_0 , the union of $L^C \cup (\Sigma_2 \times S^1)$ and the core of H , where F_1, F_2 are identified with $\partial(T \times \{0\} \times [-1, 1])$.

In L^C let a be an oriented simple closed curve corresponding to $S^1 \times \{0\} \times \{1\} \subset C \times S^1$. Let b be an oriented simple closed curve corresponding to $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1 \subset C \times S^1$. Then thinking of a and b as homology classes, we have $a = 0$ in $H_1(L^C)$ and b a generator of $H_1(L^C)$. On the other hand by the identification via the core of H , a is a generator of $H_1(\Sigma_2 \times \{\text{pt}\})$ and b corresponds to a fiber $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$.

Since $H_1(L^C) \cong \mathbf{Z} \oplus \mathbf{Z}$ we have the rank of $H_1(\Sigma_2 \times S^1) \oplus H_1(L^C)$ to be 7. Then $H_1(W) = H_1(W_0)$ is obtained from $H_1(\Sigma_2 \times S^1) \oplus H_1(L^C)$ by introducing the two relations above. Thus $H_1(W_0)$ has rank 5. It is easily seen that $b_2^+(W) = 0$, thus by Lemma 2.1 the dimension shift is

$$\begin{aligned} k &= b_1(W) - b_1(Y) + b_0(Y') - b_0(W) - b_2^+(W) \\ &= 5 - (2 + 5) + 1 - 1 - 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Some calculations Lemma 2.2 above necessitates evaluation of $P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma_2)(t)$. For late use we will also need to evaluate $P_{\omega_4}(T \times S^1)(t)$ where ω_4 has Chern class dual to any homologically non-trivial oriented simple closed curve in T .

Lemma 2.3 $P_{\omega_4}(T \times S^1)(t) = 1$ for either orientation on $T \times S^1$.

Proof After a diffeomorphism, the class ω_4 can be assumed to have Chern class Poincare dual to the fibre $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$. It is well-known (for instance [1, Prop. 1.14]) that the $SO(3)$ -bundle with 2nd Steifel-Whitney class $w_2 \equiv c_1(\omega_4) \bmod 2$ carries a unique flat connection, up to gauge equivalence. In terms of $U(2)$ -bundles this gives two flat connections ϱ_0 and ϱ_1 on the adjoint bundle. The instanton homology $I_*(T \times S^1)_{\omega_4} \cong \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}$, where the dimensions differ by 4. The rest of the lemma follows easily once we can show that the generators lie in even dimensions.

Let $X = T \times D^2$ so that $Y = \partial X$. Let $E_{\varrho_i} \rightarrow X$ be a $U(2)$ -bundle carrying connection A that extends ϱ_i . Then $\text{Ind} E_{\varrho_1} = \text{Ind} E_{\varrho_0} + 4 \bmod 8$ since the Floer dimensions differ by 4. We wish to evaluate $\text{Ind} E_{\varrho_0}$. Let $\varphi: T \times S^1 \rightarrow T \times S^1$ be the orientation reversing diffeomorphism that reverses the S^1 factor; denote by $\tilde{\varphi}$ a lift to the bundle level. Then $\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho_0)$ is equivalent in instanton homology to either ϱ_0 or ϱ_1 . Now form the double of X , identifying the boundaries via φ and let $E = E_{\varrho_0} \cup E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho_0)}$ be the corresponding bundle over the double. There are two ways of forming E , we choose the one that has c_1 dual to the surface $\{y_0\} \times D^2 \cup \{y_0\} \times D^2$ in the double. Then

$$\text{Ind} E = 2(4c_2 - c_1^2) - 3(1 - b_1 + b_2^+) \equiv 0 \bmod 8.$$

On the other hand, by the additivity of the index

$$\text{Ind} E_{\varrho_0} + \text{Ind} E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho_0)} = \text{Ind} E_{\varrho_0} + \text{Ind} E_{\varrho_0} + 4n \equiv 0 \bmod 8.$$

It follows that $\text{Ind} E_{\varrho_0} \equiv 0 \bmod 2$. Clearly $b_1(X) - b_2^+(X) = 2$ so $\nu[\varrho_0] \equiv 0 \bmod 2$. $\square$

Lemma 2.4 $P_{\omega_3}(\Sigma_2 \times S^1, \Sigma)(t) = t^{-1} - 2 + t$ for either orientation on $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$.

Proof According to [1, Prop. 1.15] we have, in increasing order of dimensions

$$I_*(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3} = \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}^2 \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \{0\} \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \mathbf{C}^2 \oplus \mathbf{C} \oplus \{0\}.$$

We shall fix this grading, beginning with zero for the first group reading left to right. We assume for the moment that this is consistent with the mod 2 grading. We shall prove it below by showing that the group $\mathbf{C}^2$ above must be an odd graded group.

The action of $\mu(\Sigma_2)$ has eigenvalues either -2 , 0 or $+2$ on $\tilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$. On the odd dimensions $\mu(\Sigma_2)$ necessarily shifts each summand of the odd groups $\mathbf{C}^2 \oplus \{0\} \oplus \mathbf{C}^2 \oplus \{0\}$ to the next. Thus $\mu(\Sigma_2)$ is zero on $\tilde{I}_1^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$ and this comprises its entire generalized eigenspace decomposition. On the other hand the ± 2 -eigenvalues for $\mu(\Sigma_2)$ are simple on $\tilde{I}_*^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)$ [8, Prop. 7.4], so only the ± 2 -eigenvalues on $\tilde{I}_0^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$ have non-trivial eigenspaces, each of dimension 1. This completely decomposes $\tilde{I}_0^+(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$ and the result follows, modulo the claim regarding the mod 2 grading.

Let ϱ be the (perturbed) flat connection that is a generator $I_1(\Sigma_2 \times S^1)_{\omega_3}$. Let $\varphi: \Sigma \times S^1 \rightarrow \Sigma_2 \times S^1$ be the orientation reversing diffeomorphism that reverses the S^1 factor. Let X be such that $\partial X = Y$ and as $E_\varrho \rightarrow X$ the bundle with connection that extends ϱ . Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be a lift to a bundle map. It must be the case that $\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho)$ is a generator in dimension 1 or 5, so by the same reasoning in the preceding lemma, $\text{Ind} E_{\tilde{\varphi}^*(\varrho)} \equiv \text{Ind} E_\varrho \pmod{4}$. Repeating the doubling argument we find

$$2\text{Ind} E_\varrho \equiv 2(4c_2 - c_1^2) - 3(1 - b_1 + b_2^+) \pmod{4}, \quad (2)$$

where the right-hand terms refer to the doubled manifold (and bundle). We now need to construct an appropriate X . This is done as follows.

Consider two copies of $T \times S^1$; denote these as Y_0 and Y_1 . Let $S_i = \{z_0\} \times S^1$ be the fibers over z_0 in T in Y_i . Consider $H = S^1 \times D^2 \times [-1, 1]$. Clearly $\partial H = S^1 \times S^1 \times [-1, 1] \cup S^1 \times D^2 \times \{-1, 1\}$. Attach H to the product cobordism $(Y_0 \cup Y_1) \times [0, 1]$ along $(Y_0 \cup Y_1) \times \{1\}$ by identifying $\nu(S_0) \cup \nu(S_1)$ with $S^1 \times D^2 \times \{0, 1\}$. This should be done so that the boundary of the ‘core’ of H , $S^1 \times \{0\} \times [-1, 1]$ goes to $S_0 \cup S_1$ in such a way that the orientations of S_i match up. It will then be seen that the resultant 4-manifold is a cobordism W between $Y_0 \cup Y_1$ and $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$. The $U(2)$ -bundle over $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$ with determinant line ω_3 extends over W ; this complex line must be trivial over say Y_1 and consequently equal to ω_4 over Y_0 .

We may fill in the boundary component Y_1 by $X_1 = T \times D^2$ in the standard manner; however for Y_0 by the same space X_0 only after an appropriate diffeomorphism on the boundary (see proof of Lemma 2.3). This is required in order that the $U(2)$ -bundle over W will in turn extend over the X_i . The manifold $W \cup X_0 \cup X_1$ is our X .

Let a_i, b_i be the generators for the 1st homology (over $\mathbf{Z}$) of $T \subset Y_i$. Let f_i be the generator for the fiber class in Y_i . Without loss we can assume the Poincare dual of ω_4 is a_0 ; it follows that $a_0 = 0$ in X_0 . In X_1 , clearly $f_1 = 0$. The cobordism W deformation retracts onto the space $Y_0 \cup Y_1 \cup \text{core}(H)$. Under the attaching of $\text{core}(H)$ to $Y_0 \cup Y_1$, $f_0 = f_1$. Therefore the generators of $H_1(X)$ are b_0, a_1, b_1 . It is seen that these are also the generators for $H_1(X \cup X)$ of the doubled manifold, so $b_1(X \cup X) = 3$.

Let T_0 be the torus in Y_0 that has intersection number ± 1 with the generator a_0 . Let T_1 be the torus $T \times \text{pt} \subset Y_1$. Then T_0, T_1 represent generators for $H_2(X)$. There is a disk D_0 with $\partial D_0 \subset \Sigma_2 \times S^1$ such that $D_0 \cdot T_0 = 1$. There is another disk D_1 with $D_1 \cdot T_1 = 1$. In the doubled manifold $X \cup X$ the boundaries of these disks match up to form 2-spheres, call them S_0, S_1 . If we call T_0, T_1 the tori in one copy of X , then call T'_0, T'_1 the copies in the other. Then the surfaces $T_0, S_0, T'_0, T_1, S_1, T'_1$ are representatives for $H_2(X \cup X)$. The intersection matrix consists of two diagonal blocks. With respect to

ordered basis $\{T_0, S_0, T'_0\}$ the first block takes the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The second block is identical. It is verified that each block has maximal positive subspaces of dimension 1, so $b_2^+(X \cup X) = 2$.

Return now to equation (2). A bundle over the doubled manifold that agrees with E_ϱ over X can be chosen to have 1st Chern class Poincare dual to S_0 , because $S_0 \cdot T_0 = S_0 \cdot T'_0 = 1$, i.e non-zero mod 2. (Other choices such as $S_0 + T_0$ etc. are also possible and will give equivalent results.)

Thus $c_1^2 = 0$ and (2) evaluates as

$$2\text{Ind}E_\varrho \equiv 2(4c_2 - 0) - 3(1 - 3 + 2) \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 4, \quad (3)$$

and therefore $\text{Ind}E_\varrho \equiv 0 \text{ mod } 2$. From the preceding considerations it is also straightforward to see that $b_1(X) = 3$ and $b_2^+(X) = 0$. So

$$\nu[\varrho] = \text{Ind}E_\varrho - 3(b_1(X) - b_2^+(X)) \equiv 1 \text{ mod } 2,$$

and the lemma follows. $\square$

Skein Relations Let J denote either an oriented knot or link in the 3-sphere. Let J_+ , J_- and J_0 denote in the usual way the knots or links that in a projection differ in a neighborhood of a single crossing. We use the conventions for J_+ and J_- in [1, Sect. 3]. If $J_\pm$ is modeled on the x - y -axes in the plane (the strands agreeing with the standard orientation of the axes), then in J_+ the y -axis strand goes over the x -axis strand.

If $J_\pm$ are knots then J_0 is a 2-component link. If $J_\pm$ are 2-component links and the crossing is between different components of $J_\pm$ then J_0 is a knot. We have a version of the following well-known theorem but applied only to knots and 2-component links.

Theorem 2.5 *Let J denote either an oriented knot or oriented 2-component link. Let $\Delta_J(t)$ be a finite Laurent polynomial in powers of $t^{1/2}$ that is an oriented isotopy invariant of J . Assume (1) $\Delta_J(t) = 1$ for the unknot (2) $\Delta_J(t) = 0$ for split 2-component links and (3) the following Skein rule holds:*

$$\Delta_{J_+}(t) - \Delta_{J_-}(t) = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})\Delta_{J_0}(t),$$

where if J is a 2 component link then the crossing change is between different strands. Then for knots K , $\Delta_K(t)$ is exactly the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial of K , i.e such that $\Delta_K(t^{-1}) = \Delta_K(t)$, and $\Delta_K(1) = 1$.

Proof see [6, Proof of Theorem 1.5]; however note that our $J_{\pm}$ is their $J_{\mp}$.
□

3 Proofs

Theorem 1.1 The strategy is to show that for oriented knots K , $P_K(t) = P_{\omega_0}(K^T, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$ satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.5 by applying Floer's exact triangle ([1] will be our general reference). However this will require, for oriented 2-component links L a slight alteration of $P_{\omega_1}(L^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t)$ before the Skein relation is satisfied.

We let

$$\widehat{P}_J(t) = \begin{cases} P_{\omega_0}(J^T, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) & \text{if } J \text{ is a knot} \\ -(t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})P_{\omega_1}(J^C, \widehat{\Sigma})(t) & \text{if } J \text{ is a link.} \end{cases}$$

According to Floer, for oriented knots K there is an exact sequence of the form

$$\longrightarrow \widetilde{I}_i(K_+^T)_{\omega_0} \xrightarrow{a} \widetilde{I}_i(K_-^T)_{\omega_0} \xrightarrow{b} \widetilde{I}_i(K_0^{\Sigma_2})_{\omega_2} \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{I}_{i-1}(K_+^T)_{\omega_0} \longrightarrow$$

where the maps are induced by the various surgery cobordisms, essentially adding 2-handles to the product cobordism along a knot. The maps a , b

are degree zero and c is degree -1 applying Lemma 2.1 to the surgery cobordisms W_a , W_b and W_c respectively. W_a and W_b are obtained by adding two handles along homologically trivial knots with -1 -framing. As such b_1 is the same as the ends of the cobordism. Clearly for W_a and W_b , $b_2^+ = 0$. By Lemma 2.1 this gives a dimension shift of $0 \bmod 2$. On the other hand, W_c is obtained by adding a 2-handle along a knot which is a generator for 1st homology, so b_1 drops by 1 in W_c , however $b_2^+ = 0$. This results in a dimension shift of $-1 \bmod 2$.

Since all the surgery cobordisms are connected, the action of $\mu(x_0)$ in each group commutes with a , b and c . A similar statement is true for the action of $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ on each group, because all the various oriented surfaces $\widehat{\Sigma}$ are homologous in the surgery cobordisms.

Therefore the above exact sequence respects the decomposition of each group into the ± 2 eigenspaces of $\mu(x_0)$ and the generalized eigenspaces for $\mu(\widehat{\Sigma})$ contained therein. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\omega_0}(K_+^T, \widehat{\Sigma}) - P_{\omega_0}(K_-^T, \widehat{\Sigma}) &= -P_{\omega_2}(K_0^{\Sigma_2}, \widehat{\Sigma}) \\ &= -(t^{-1} - 2 + t)P_{\omega_1}(K_0^C, \widehat{\Sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality is obtained by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.4. It immediately follows that

$$\widehat{P}_{K_+}(t) - \widehat{P}_{K_-}(t) = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})\widehat{P}_{K_0}(t). \quad (4)$$

In the case of a 2-component oriented link L where the crossing change is between different components of the link, we have the exact sequence

$$\longrightarrow \widetilde{I}_i(L_+^C)_{\omega_1} \xrightarrow{a} \widetilde{I}_i(L_-^C)_{\omega_1} \xrightarrow{b} \widetilde{I}_i(L_0^T)_{\omega_0} \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{I}_{i-1}(L_+^C)_{\omega_1} \longrightarrow$$

A similar argument gives

$$P_{\omega_1}(L_+^C, \widehat{\Sigma}) - P_{\omega_1}(L_-^C, \widehat{\Sigma}) = -P_{\omega_0}(L_0^T, \widehat{\Sigma})$$

and again relation (4) holds. For split 2-component links L' the instanton homology must vanish because ω_1 is non-trivial on the 2-sphere S that separates the components of the link; there are no flat $SO(3)$ -connections over

S . Thus $0 = P_{\omega_1}(L')(t) = \widehat{P}_{L'}(t)$. For the unknot U , U^T is clearly $T \times S^1$ so $\widehat{P}_U(t) = P_{\omega_0}(U^T)(t) = 1$ by Lemma 2.3. This completes the proof. $\square$

Corollary 1.2 In our definition of ω_0 (defined for K^T) we could have also twisted it by the complex line that has Chern class Poincare dual to an oriented fiber $\{\text{pt}\} \times S^1$ in the subset $(T - D^\circ) \times S^1$. We could made corresponding changes for ω_1 and ω_2 (recall these defined for L^C and L^{Σ_2} respectively), ensuring that these are compatible over the knot or link complement with each other. We will not need to change ω_3 (defined for $\Sigma_2 \times S^1$) but will need a similar change to ω_4 (defined for $T \times S^1$). Denote the changed complex lines by ω'_0 , ω'_1 , ω'_2 and ω'_4 respectively.

Lemma 2.2 remains unchanged with ω_1 and ω_2 replaced with ω'_1 and ω'_2 respectively. Excision does not require ω_3 to be changed.

Lemma 2.3 remains the same with ω_4 replaced by ω'_4 . There is a diffeomorphism that moves ω'_4 back to ω_4 .

Then the proof of Theorem 1.1 goes through with ω_i replaced with ω'_i . In particular the conclusion about $\widehat{P}_J(t)$, defined using ω'_i , remains the same. Therefore we now assume the ω_i 's are changed to ω'_i 's.

Then, according to Floer (see [1]) for oriented knots K there is an exact sequence of the form

$$\longrightarrow \widetilde{I}_i(K_+^D)_{\omega'} \xrightarrow{a} \widetilde{I}_i(K_-^D)_{\omega'} \xrightarrow{b} \widetilde{I}_i(K_0^C)_{\omega'_1} \xrightarrow{c} \widetilde{I}_{i-1}(K_+^D)_{\omega'} \longrightarrow$$

Repeating the argument of the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have

$$Q_{K_+}(t) - Q_{K_-}(t) = -P(K_0^C, \widehat{\Sigma})_{\omega'_1}(t),$$

and therefore

$$(t - 2 + t^{-1})(Q_{K_+}(t) - Q_{K_-}(t)) = (t^{1/2} - t^{-1/2})\Delta_{K_0}(t).$$

Here we make use of the proof of Theorem 1.1 (under the new ω'_i) that identifies $-(t^{1/2}-t^{-1/2})P(K_0^C, \widehat{\Sigma})_{\omega'_1}(t)$ with $\Delta_{K_0}(t)$. Thus there is a universal constant C such that

$$(t - 2 + t^{-1})Q_K(t) + C = \Delta_K(t),$$

where $\Delta_K(t)$ is the symmetrized and normalized Alexander polynomial. By considering the unknot, which has $Q_K(t) = 0$, we must have $C = 1$. The corollary follows immediately. $\square$

References

- [1] P.J. Braam & S.K. Donaldson, Floer's work on instanton homology, knots and surgery, The Floer Memorial Volume, Ed. Hofer et al., Birkhauser 1995, 257-282
- [2] M. Culler, C. McA. Gordon, J. Luecke & P.B. Shalen, Dehn surgery on knots, Ann. of Math., Vol. 125 (1987) No.2, 237-300
- [3] S.K. Donaldson, Floer homology groups in Yang-Mills theory, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002
- [4] A. Floer, Instanton homology, surgery and knots, Geometry of low dimensional manifolds: 1 (Durham, 1989), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Series, Vol 150, 97-114
- [5] K. Froyshov, Equivariant aspects Yang-Mills Floer theory, Topology Vol. 41(2002) No.3, 525-552
- [6] R. Fintushel & R.J. Stern, Knots, links and 4-manifolds, Invent. Math., Vol. 143 (1998) No.2, 363-400
- [7] P.B. Kronheimer & T.S. Mrowka, Whitten's conjecture and property P, Geom. Topol., Vol. 8 (2004) 295-310

- [8] P.B. Kronheimer & T.S. Mrowka, Knots, sutures and excision, preprint (2008)
- [9] P.B. Kronheimer & T.S. Mrowka, Instanton Floer homology and the Alexander polynomial, preprint (manuscript in preparation (7.23.09))

Contact

Yuhan Lim
Murphys, CA
ylim583@yahoo.com